### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	bounding APR 232			
To: ARLES A. TAYLOR, JR. JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P.A. SUITE 1200, UNIVERSITY TOWER 3100 TOWER BOULEVARD DURHAM, NC 27707	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION  (PCT Rule 44.1)			
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 APR 2000			
Applicant's or agent's file reference 1242/92 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below			
International application No. PCT/US 09/32824	International filing date (day/month/year) 02 February 2009 (02.02.2009)			
Applicant VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY				
1. A the applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.  Filing of anneoments and statement under Article 19:  The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):  When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.  Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes  1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 338 8270  For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.  2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.  3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:  the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.  no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.  4. Reminders  Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.  The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International preliminary ex				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized officer:			
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Lee W. Young  PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774			
Facsimile No. 571-273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)	(See notes on accompanying sheet)			

DOCKET DATES: 5/21; 4/21/09
ASSIGNED ATTY: AAT I LPL
FILE NO. 1244 92 PCT
DOCKETED BY: PER BATE: 4/27/09
\$105 due 7/11/09 for 1242/92/2

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1242/92 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	see Form PCT/ISA/220 as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.		
International application No.	International filing date (day/m	e (day/month/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US 09/32824	02 February 2009 (02.02.2009)	02.2009) 31 January 2008 (31.01.2008)		
Applicant VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY				
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.  This international search report consists of a total of sheets.  It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.				
1. Basis of the report				
a. With regard to the language, the	international search was carried	out on the ba	asis of:	
the international app	lication in the language in which	it was filed.		
a translation of the ir a translation furnishe	international application into which is the language of hed for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).			
	This international search report has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43.6bis(a)).			
c. With regard to any nucleot				
2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (see Box No. II).				
3. Unity of invention is lacki	ing (see Box No. III).			
4. With regard to the title,				
the text is approved as subr	nitted by the applicant.			
the text has been established	d by this Authority to read as fol	ead as follows:		
5. With regard to the abstract,				
the text is approved as sub	nitted by the applicant.			
			y as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant h report, submit comments to this Authority.	
6. With regard to the drawings,				
a. the figure of the <b>drawings</b> to be	published with the abstract is Fig	gure No. <u>1</u>		
as suggested by the a	pplicant.			
as selected by this A	uthority, because the applicant fa	iled to sugges	st a figure.	
as selected by this A	uthority, because this figure bette	r characterize	es the invention.	
b none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract.			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US 09/32824

A. CLA	A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
	A61K 38/00; A61P 11/00 (2009.01)				
USPĆ -	514/12; 382/132	ting			
According to	o International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both n	ational classification and IPC			
	DS SEARCHED				
	ocumentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)			
USPC: 514/	12; 382/132				
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to the ex	tent that such documents are included in the	fields searched		
Electronic da	ata base consulted during the international search (name c atabases Searched: USPTO WEST (PGPUB, EPAB, JF	of data base and, where practicable, search ter	rms used)		
bronchopulm	atabases Searched: USPTO WEST (PGPUB, EPAB, Ji nonary dysplasia, hypertension, hypoxia, hypocitrullinen	rab, USPT), Google Patent, Google Schola nia, citrulline level\$, citrulline level\$, intravel	ar. Search Terms Oseu: nous, infant		
<b>0</b> ,		,	,		
C. DOCUI	MENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Υ	US 2004/0235953 A1 (Summar et al.) 25 November 2		1-19		
	entire document especially para [0229]-[0231]; [0238];	[0383]-[0384]; [0402]			
Y	Schreiber et al. Inhaled nitric oxide in premature infant N Engl. J. Med., 2003, 349, 2099-20107, especially pa		1-8		
Y	Berkenbosch et al. Decreased synthesis and vasodilat induced pulmonary hypertension. Am. J. Physiol. Jung		9-19		
	L283, especially abstract, page L 281	Odii. Wol. 1 Hyolon, 2000, 10. 2. 0, a			
Α	US 2007/0026448 A1 (Ramanathan et al.) 01 Februar	v 2007 (01 02 2007)	1-19		
^	entire document	y 2007 (01.02.2007)	1-13		
Furthe	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.				
•	categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the interr	national filing date or priority		
to be of	nt defining the general state of the art which is not considered particular relevance	date and not in conflict with the application the principle or theory underlying the in	ation but cited to understand nvention		
filing d		"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considered step when the document is taken alone	claimed invention cannot be ered to involve an inventive		
cited to	nt which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is establish the publication date of another citation or other	•	plaimed invention cannot be		
special: "O" docume	special reason (as specified)  considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination				
	·				
the priority date claimed					
17 September 2009 (17.09.2009) <b>21 APR 2009</b>					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  Authorized officer:					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US, Commissioner for Patents  Lee W. Young					
P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300					
Facsimile No	p. 571-273-3201	PCT OSP: 571-272-7774			

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

9

o: ARLES A. TAYLOR, JR.
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P.A.
SUITE 1200, UNIVERSITY TOWER
3100 TOWER BOULEVARD
DURHAM, NC 27707

## PCT

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Lee W. Young

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300

PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

	Marting Commence of the Commen	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21 APR 2009		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER A	FOR FURTHER ACTION		
1242/92 PCT			See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date	e (day/month/year)	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US 09/32824	02 February 2009	(02.02.2009)	31 January 2008 (31.01.2008)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(8) - A61K 38/00; A61P 11/00 (2009.01) USPC - 514/12; 382/132					
Applicant VANDERBILT UNIV	ERSITY				
This opinion contains indication	relating to the following ite	·me·			
Box No. I Basis of the					
	е ориноп				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-estab	ishment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of ur	Lack of unity of invention				
	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain do	No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain de	fects in the international app	lication	•		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
a priparity i caroni					
2. FURTHER ACTION	oliminary evamination is m	ade this oninion will l	ne considered to be a written opinion of the		
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Date of completion of this opinion Authorized officer:					

17 March 2009 (17.03.2009)

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 09/32824

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:  the international application in the language in which it was filed.  a translation of the international application into which is the language of a
		translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))
3.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been shed on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material  a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material
	Ë	on paper in electronic form
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing
	Ē	contained in the international application as filed  filed together with the international application in electronic form
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
4.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
5.	Additi	onal comments:
		·

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 09/32824

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statemer	nt			
	Nove	lty (N)	Claims	1-19	YES
	. 1012	,	Claims	NONE	NO NO
	Inven	ntive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
			Claims	1-19	NO NO
	Indus	strial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-19	YES
T. T		Claims	NONE	NO	

### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-8 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33 (3) as being obvious over US 2004/0235953 A1 to Summar et al. (hereafter 'Summar') in view of the article entitled 'inhaled nitric oxide in premature infants with the respiratory distress syndrome' by Schreiber et al. (hereafter 'Schreiber').

Regarding claim 1, Summar teaches the administration a nitric oxide precursor, citrulline to increase the presence of nitric oxide in a subject (para [0229]-[0231]). Summar does not teach the administration of citrulline to treat bronchopulmonary dysplasia. However, Schreiber teaches the inhalation of nitric oxide to treat bronchopulmonary dysplasia (chronic lung disease) in premature infants (page 2099, method and conclusion). It would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Summar and Schreiber to treat bronchopulmonary dysplasia in premature infants.

Regarding claim 2, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is selected from the group consisting of citrulline, a precursor that generates citrulline in vivo, arginine, a precursor that generates arginine in vivo, and combinations thereof (para [0230]-[0231]).

Regarding claim 3, Summar teaches the method, wherein the administering comprises oral administration, intravenous administration, and combinations thereof. (para [0231]).

Regarding claim 4, Summar teaches the method, wherein the subject is an infant (para [0230]).

Regarding claim 5, Summar teaches the method, wherein the infant is a preterm infant (para [0230]).

Regarding claim 6, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is administered in a dose ranging from about 100 mg to about 30,000 mg (para [0238]).

Regarding claim 7, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is administered in a dose ranging from about 250 mg to about 1,000 mg (para [0238]).

Regarding claim 8, Summar teaches the method, wherein the subject suffers from hypocitrullinemia characterized by plasma citrulline levels of < 37 micromol/liter (para [0383]-[0384]; [0402]).

Claims 9-19 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33 (3) as being obvious over Summar in view of the article entitled 'Decreased synthesis and vasodilation to nitric oxide in piglets with hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension' by Berkenbosch et al. (hereafter 'Berkenbosch').

Regarding claim 9, Summar teaches the administration a nitric oxide precursor, citrulline to increase the presence of nitric oxide in a subject (para [0229]-[0231]). Summar does not teach the administration of citrulline to treat chronic hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension. However, Berkenbosch teaches neonatal chronic hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension is associated with multiple disruptions in the nitric oxide pathway, wherein hypoxia decreases NO production (abstract; page L281, discussion). It would have been prima facie obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Summar and Berkenbosch to treat chronic hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension in infants and preterm infants.

Regarding claim 10, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is selected from the group consisting of citrulline, a precursor that generates citrulline in vivo, arginine, a precursor that generates arginine in vivo, and combinations thereof. (para [0230]-[0231]).

Regarding claim 11, Summar teaches the method, wherein the administering comprises oral administration, intravenous administration, and combinations thereof. (para [0231]).

Regarding claim 12. Summar teaches the method, wherein the subject is an infant (para [0230]).

(please see continuation in supplemental box)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US 09/32824

### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V.2 (Citations and Explanations):

Regarding claim 13, Summar teaches the method, wherein the infant is a preterm infant (para [0230]).

Regarding claim 14, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is administered in a dose ranging from about 100 mg to about 30,000 mg (para [0238]).

Regarding claim 15, Summar teaches the method, wherein the nitric oxide precursor is administered in a dose ranging from about 250 mg to about 1,000 mg (para [0238]).

Regarding claim 16, Summar teaches the method, wherein the subject suffers from hypocitrullinemia characterized by plasma citrulline levels of < 37 micromol/liter (para [0383]-[0384]; [0402]).

Regarding claim 17, Summar teaches the pharmaceutical composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier and an amount of citrulline effective to raise plasma citrulline level, wherein the level is determined by comparing plasma citrulline levels in a subject (para [0230]; [0383]-[0384]; [0243]-[0244]). Summar does not teach the composition is to treat hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension in a subject. However, Berkenbosch teaches neonatal chronic hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension is associated with multiple disruptions in the nitric oxide pathway, wherein hypoxia decreases NO production (abstract; page L281, discussion). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Summar and Berkenbosch to treat chronic hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension in infants and preterm infants.

Regarding claim 18, Summar teaches the pharmaceutical composition, wherein the amount of citrulline effective to raise plasma citrulline level in a subject to at least micromol/liter, optionally at least 10 micromol/liter, optionally at least 20 micromol/liter, optionally at least 25 micromol/liter, and optionally about 37 micromoi/liter (para [0383]-[0384]; [0402]).

Regarding claim 19, Summar teaches the pharmaceutical composition, wherein the pharmaceutical composition is adapted for intravenous or oral administration (para [0231]).

Claims 1-19 have industrial applicability as defined by PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter can be made or used in industry.